FINAL DUE DATE

The Twinkie Project

TOTAL: /65



Hr:

FOOD SCIENCE SCIENTIFIC METHOD RUBRIC

Student Name(s): PRELAB

	Required Components	Performance Level			
Score & Comments		Advanced (5)	Proficient (3-4)	Basic (2)	Below Basic (1/0)
	Identify the Question/Problem: -Clearly stated - Testable -Clearly defines the type of measurement being used - Clearly refined to identify the variable being tested - Narrow enough to be completed by student within one week's time MUST BE IN THE FORM OF A QUESTION WITH A QUESTION MARK!	In depth, detailed and concise explanation of all components	Meets all criteria	Not clearly explained or Missing some components	Missing multiple components which affected the outcome of the experiment
x2	Background Research/Purpose -Background Research is stated -Observations and inferences are described and related to the problem **AT LEAST 4 <u>CREDIBLE</u> CITED SOURCES ARE REQUIRED	In depth, detailed, and concise description of research, observations, and inferences *Includes MLA or APA cited sources	Meets all criteria	Not clearly explained or Missing some information	Missing research, observations and/ or inferences
	Form a Hypothesis: - Clearly identifies the testable problem - Clearly states what the student expects to happen - Clearly states why the student expects that to happen based on their background research -Clearly defines the type of measurement being tested WRITTEN AS A "IFTHEN" STATEMENT	In depth, detailed and concise explanation of all components	Meets all criteria	Not clearly explained or Missing some components	Missing multiple components which affected the outcome of the experiment
	Design an Experiment to Test the Hypothesis: -There is only one variable being tested -Experiment is controlled by identifying all constants	In depth, detailed and concise explanation of all components	Meets all criteria	Not clearly identified or Missing some components	Missing multiple components which affected the outcome of the experiment

		Performance Level				
Score &	Required Components	Advanced	Proficient	Basic	Below Basic	
Comments		(5)	(3-4)	(2)	(1/0)	
	Design an Experiment to Test the Hypothesis: - The design tests the hypothesis -Materials are clearly listed using bullets - The procedure is clearly mapped out using numbers for each step -Procedures are clear and easy to follow so that others can replicate Design an Experiment to Test the Hypothesis:	In depth, detailed and concise explanation of all components In depth, detailed and concise explanation	Meets all criteria Meets all criteria	Not clearly explained or Missing some components or More than one variable being tested Too few runs to get reliable data Too few data sets	Missing multiple components which affected the outcome of the experiment Incomplete	
	- The experiment is <u>Safe</u> and doable with all safety concerns identified	of all components				
	Design Table to Collect and Organize Data/ Collect and Analyze Data: TABLE:	Detailed and in-depth recording of the data Qualitative notes are	Meets all criteria	Inappropriate table or some incorrectly recorded data Reviewer has to ask questions about table to understand	Missing multiple components Table and data is incomplete and reader cannot	
	-There is a clear title with measurement units identified when needed - Columns and rows are clearly labeled with units identified when needed - Quantitative Data is accurately recorded - Qualitative notes are recorded	clear and easy to understand and related to the problem		it Missing Qualitative Notes	understand the data from the experiment	
LAB						
	Collect and Analyze Data: Multiple data sets created by proper use of procedures GRAPH: (Bar-Comparison vs. Line-Change Over Time) - The appropriate type of graph is selected to display the data - Title=There is no question in the reviewers mind what they are looking at - Axes= X=Manipulated Variable (your experimental variable), Y=Responding Variable (what you have measured) - Interval= Appropriately displays data to show trends/patterns and evenly spaced - Label=Axes clearly labeled, including units - Data is neat and organized	Detailed and in-depth recording of the data	Meets all criteria	Inappropriate graph or some incorrectly recorded data Reviewer has to ask questions about graph to understand it	Missing components Graph and data is incomplete and reader cannot understand the data from the experiment	

POST LAB					
x2	Draw Conclusions: - Student's conclusion is directly connected to and supported by their analysis of the data - Student clearly restates data, both quantitative and qualitative, in their explanation -Student explains why the data supports the hypothesis or not -Student offers a plausible explanation for unexpected outcomes -Errors and/or improvements are clearly identifiedthere will be some! -Student's analysis walks the reviewer through the graphed data clearly explaining what/when it happened	In depth, detailed and concise explanation of all components	Meets all criteria	*Conclusion not tied to the analysis or does not clearly explain * Disconnect between the data and conclusion * Incomplete explanation of how data support hypothesis or plausible explanation of unexpected outcome	Missing components No connection between data and conclusion No attempt at analysis
x2	Communicate Results Student(s) clearly present each step of the scientific method as they explain their project to peers through the medium of their choice (Prezi, Google Presentations, etc) All group members able to participate and elaborate on information	In depth, detailed and concise explanation of all components	Meets all criteria	Not clearly explained or missing some components	Missing multiple components which affect the understanding of the project

П